

REMARKS

Applicant's Amendments filed June 3, 2009 and July 8, 2009 were not entered. The Patent Office sent Advisory Actions dated June 19, 2009 and August 7, 2009. Please enter and consider the present amendment.

Claims 1-5, 11, 20-22, 24, 25, 37-40 and 73, 76, and 78-82 are pending. Claim 1 was amended to direct the claim to the indicated shapes and diameters. Claims 22, 24, and 38 were amended to clarify the Markush language. Claims 74, 75, and 77 are cancelled. These changes were made to advance prosecution. New claim 78 is a re-presentation of claim 1 but using the word "about" instead of "less than". New claims 79-82 correspond to claims 3, 5, 11, and 25, respectively.

The claims stand rejected over a new matter rejection made under 35 U.S.C. §112 for lack of written description. All that is required to satisfy the description requirement is that the originally filed disclosure would have conveyed to one having ordinary skill in the art that the appellant had possession of the concept of what is later claimed. *In re Anderson*, 471 F.2d 1237, 1244, 176 USPQ 331, 336 (CCPA 1973). Amended claim 1 is limited to the rod and the sheet roll with the 1.5 mm language being used in terms of the outer diameter of the rod or roll. The diameter of the rod or roll is provided, as in Example 12.

A terminal disclaimer over related U.S. Serial No. 11/406,791 is attached. As per the Interview Summary mailed by the Examiner on August 7, 2009, the Examiner raised the issue of obviousness-type double patenting. The terminal disclaimer is provided to advance prosecution. No admissions are thereby made.

Allowance of the claims is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Curtis B. Herbert/  
Curtis B. Herbert, Ph.D., Reg. #45,443

Customer No. 62274  
Dardi & Associates, PLLC  
US Bank Plaza, Suite 2000  
220 South 6<sup>th</sup> Street  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402  
Telephone: (612) 605-1038